8

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/054.322	LEE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	T
Nelson Moskowitz	3663	
(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in or other appropriate commu	this application. If not includ inication will be mailed in due	ed course. THIS
e Examiner.		
e been received. e been received in Application ocuments have been received of this communication to file MENT of this application. Initted. Note the attached EXA es reason(s) why the oath or set be submitted. Is son's Patent Drawing Review of Amendment / Comment or 1.84(c)) should be written on the header according to 37 CFI set of BIOLOGICAL MATE	n No I in this national stage application this national stage application the reply complying with the result. MINER'S AMENDMENT or Note declaration is deficient. (PTO-948) attached in the Office action of the drawings in the front (not the R 1.121(d).	quirements NOTICE OF
6. Interview Su Paper No./I 08), 7. Examiner's A 8. Examiner's S	ummary (PTO-413), Mail Date Amendment/Comment Statement of Reasons for Allo Continuation/Sheet	owance
	Examiner Nelson Moskowitz Pears on the cover sheet with (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in the cover of the community of the communication to file of the communication	LEE ET AL. Lexaminer Art Unit

Continuation of Attachment(s) 9. Other: Claims 1-47 are allowed as the prior art fails to anticipate or obviate a bidirectional optical amplifier module using four (4) amplifiers with a mid-stage signal processor, and using four different optical paths as claimed. The references cited by the Examiner (PTO-892), and the references cited by Applicant (PTO-1449) disclose bidirectional optical amplifier systems having four amplifiers, a mid-stage device, and 4 optical signal paths. However, these references fail to disclose or obviate the signal paths set forth in the allowed claims. Many of the closest prior art systems are depicted in figures 1A²1D of Applicant's drawings an described in Applicant's specification.

NELSON MOSKOWITZ PRIMARY EXAMINER